1	Nicholas D. Kovarik, WSBA #35462			
2	Email: nick@pyklawyers.com			
3	PISKEL YAHNE KOVARIK, PLLC 522 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 700			
4	Spokane, Washington 99201			
	509-321-5930 – Telephone			
5	509-321-5935 – Facsimile			
6	Attorney for Plaintiff David Weller			
7	U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
9	DAVID WELLER, Individually and	Case No.: 4:20-cv-5077		
10	For Others Similarly Situated.			
11	Plaintiffs,	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT		
12	V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
13	RCS CORPORATION	JOKI TRIME DEMININGED		
14	Defendant.			
15				
16	Plaintiff David Weller (Weller) is informed and believes, and on that basis			
17	alleges, as follows:			
18	SUMMARY			
19	JOWINARI			
20	1. RCS Corporation (RCS) fa	iiled to pay Weller, and other workers like		
21	him, overtime as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and the Revised			
22	Code of Washington Chapter 40 46 et sea (PCW) Washington's Minimum Wasse Act			
23	Code of Washington, Chapter 49.46 et seq. (RCW), Washington's Minimum Wage Act			
24	(WMWA), and any relevant regulations and/or rules adopted by the Washington			
25	Director of Labor and Industries (collectively, "Washington Wage Laws").			
26				
27	2. Instead, RCS pays Weller, a	and other workers like him, the same hourly		
28	rate for all hours worked, including those in excess of 40 in a workweek.			
	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT - 1	Piskel Yahne Kovarik, PLLC 522 W. Riverside Avenue Ste. 700		

Spokane,Wa 99201 P 509.321.5930 / F 509.321.5935

- 3. RCS further failed to pay Weller, and other workers like him, for all rest breaks, meal breaks in violation of Washington Wage Laws.
- 4. Weller brings this collective and class action to recover unpaid overtime and other damages.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 6. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 a significant portion of the facts giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this District.

### THE PARTIES

- 8. Weller is an hourly employee of RCS. His written consent is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 9. Weller seeks conditional and final certification of this FLSA collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 10. The class of similarly situated employees sought to be certified as a collective action under the FLSA is defined as:

COMPLAINT - 3



17. At all relevant times, RCS was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in
the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the
FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees
engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees
handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved
in or produced for commerce - such as computers, automobiles, and cell phones -
because RCS is a staffing firm providing services to the energy, engineering, and
utility industries throughout this country.

- 18. At all relevant times, RCS had an annual gross volume of sales made in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 19. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Weller and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce per 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.

#### THE FACTS

- 20. RCS is a nationwide staffing firm that provides services to the energy, engineering, and utility sectors.
  - 21. In order to provide these services, it employs individuals like Weller.
  - 22. Weller was an hourly employee of RCS.
  - 23. Weller was hired around August of 2016.
- 24. Weller was a Maintenance Outage Coordinator and a Senior Scheduler for RCS.

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT - 4



COMPLAINT - 5



- 38. RCS nonetheless failed to pay certain hourly employees, such as Weller, overtime.
- 39. RCS did not pay Weller and the Putative Class Members on a salary basis.
- 40. RCS's failure to pay overtime to these hourly workers was, and is, a willful violation of the FLSA and the Washington Wage Laws.

## **FLSA VIOLATIONS**

- 41. By failing to pay Weller and the FLSA Class Members overtime at oneand-one-half times their regular rates, RCS violated the FLSA's overtime provisions.
- 42. RCS owes Weller and the FLSA Class Members the difference between the rate actually paid and the proper overtime rate.
- 43. Any differences in job duties do not detract from the fact that these hourly workers are entitled to overtime pay.
- 44. Because RCS knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, its pay practices violated the FLSA, RCS owes these wages for at least the past three years.
- 45. RCS is liable to Weller and the FLSA Class Members an amount equal to all unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 46. Weller and the FLSA Class Members are entitled to recover all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.

47. The workers impacted by RCS's "straight time for overtime" scheme should be notified of this action and given the chance to join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### WASHINGTON WAGE LAW VIOLATIONS

- 48. Weller realleges and reincorporates all allegations above as if incorporated herein.
  - 49. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, violate the Washington Wage Laws.
- 50. At all relevant times, RCS has been, and continue to be, an "employer" within the meaning of the Washington Wage Laws. At all relevant times, RCS employed "employee[s]," including Weller and the Washington Class, within the meaning of the Washington Wage Laws.
- 51. RCW §49.52.070 provides that employers who violate Washington's minimum wage laws under the circumstances present in this case are liable for double the amount of wages improperly withheld.
  - 52. Pursuant to RCW §49.52.080, there exists a presumption of willfulness.
- 53. The Washington Wage Laws require an employer, such as RCS to pay overtime compensation to all non-exempt employees. Weller and the Washington Class are not exempt from overtime pay requirements under the Washington Wage Laws.
- 54. More specifically, the Washington Class members' claims are subject to the three-year statute of limitations applicable to the WMWA and implied contracts, CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

  COMPLAINT 7

  Piskel Yahue Kovarik, PLLC

  S22 W. Biografide Avonue Ste. 700

Spokane,Wa 99201

P 509.321.5930 / F 509.321.5935

as provided under RCW § 4.16.080(3). See e.g., Seattle Prof'l Eng'g Employees Ass'n v. Boeing Co., 139 Wash. 2d 824, 838, 991 P.2d 1126, 1134, opinion corrected on denial of reconsideration, 1 P.3d 578 (Wash. 2000); Mitchell v. PEMCO Mut. Ins. Co., 134 Wash. App. 723, 737, 142 P.3d 623 (2006).

- 55. At all relevant times, RCS had a policy and practice of failing and refusing to pay overtime pay to Weller for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 56. RCS violated Washington Wage Laws including, but not necessarily limited to, RCW, WMWA, by failing to pay the Washington Class on a salary basis.
- 57. At all relevant times, RCS did not pay the Washington Class on a salary basis, so the Washington Class was not exempt under Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-510 (executive), Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-520 (administrative), Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-530 (professional), and Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-532 (salary basis and deductions).
- 58. With regards to the Washington Class Members, RCS did not comply with Washington Admin. Code §296-126-092(4) which provides: "Employees shall be allowed a rest period of not less than ten minutes, on the employer's time, for each four hours of working time."
- 59. At all relevant times, RCS willfully failed and refused, and continues to willfully fail and refuse, to pay Weller and the Washington Class Members the amounts owed. Specifically, RCS claws back all hourly advances not paid for CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

  COMPLAINT 8

  Piskel Yahne Kovarik, PLLC 522 W. Biografic Avenue Ste. 700

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT - 9

rest/meal break time. This conduct violates Washington Wage Laws as alleged in this cause of action.

- 60. RCS has denied Weller and the Washington Class wages and benefits of employment, including contractual vacation pay, as alleged herein. RCS's deduction of Weller and the Washington Class members vacation pay for wages results in depriving Weller and Washington Class members of their vacation pay, in violation of RCW §49.52.050. RCS is, therefore, liable to Weller and the Washington Class for all such vacation pay and other improperly deducted or rebated wages or earnings, and double damages, under RCW §49.52.070.
- 61. Weller and the Washington Class seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action to be paid by RCS.
- 62. Weller and the Washington Class seek damages in the amount of the respective unpaid wages earned and due at the regular hourly wage rate, and at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek; actual damages; penalty damages; and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 63. RCS's illegal "straight time for overtime" policy extends beyond Weller.
- 64. It is the "straight time for overtime" payment plan that violates the FLSA and Washington Wage Laws in this collective and class action.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT - 10

522 W. Riverside Avenue Stc. 700 Spokane,Wa 99201 P 509.321.5930 / F 509.321.5935 economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

- 75. The questions of law and facts common to each of the FLSA and Washington Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:
  - a. Whether RCS employed the FLSA and Washington Class

    Members within the meaning of the FLSA and Washington

    Wage Laws;
  - b. Whether the FLSA and Washington Class Members were exempt from overtime;
  - c. Whether RCS's straight time for overtime compensation practice met the salary basis test;
  - d. Whether RCS's decision not to pay overtime to the FLSA and Washington Class Members was made in good faith; and
  - e. Whether RCS's violation of the FLSA and Washington Wage Laws was willful.
- 76. Weller's claims are typical of the FLSA and Washington Class Members since both have sustained damages arising out of RCS's illegal and uniform employment pay policy.

- 77. Weller knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a class or collective action.
- 78. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude class or collective action treatment.
- 79. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

# JURY DEMAND

80. Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38, Weller demands a trial by jury.

#### **PRAYER**

- 81. WHEREFORE, Weller prays for relief as follows:
  - a. An order designating this lawsuit as a collective action and authorizing notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to the proposed Class Members to permit them to join this action by filing a written notice of consent;
  - b. For an Order designating the state law classes as class actions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 under Washington Wage Laws;

	11	
1	c.	Judgment against RCS awarding Weller and the Class Members
2		all unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys'
3		
4		fees and costs.
5	d.	An award of pre- and post-judgment interest on all amounts
6		awarded at the highest rate allowable by law; and
7 8	e.	All such other and further relief to which Weller and the Class
9		Members may show themselves to be justly entitled.
10		
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		By: /s/ Nicholas D. Kovarik
13		Nicholas D. Kovarik
14		WA Bar No. 35462 nick@pyklawyers.com
		PISKEL YAHNE KOVARIK, PLLC
15		522 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 700
16		Spokane, Washington 99201
17		509-321-5930 — Telephone 509-321-5935 — Facsimile
18		507 5 <b>2</b> 1 6755 1 <b>46</b> 5Mine
19		Michael A. Josephson
20		Texas Bar No. 24014780
		mjosephson@mybackwages.com Andrew Dunlap
21		Texas Bar No. 24078444
22		adunlap@mybackwages.com
23		Richard M. Schreiber
24		Texas Bar No. 24056278 <b>Josephson Dunlap, LLP</b>
25		11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050
		Houston, Texas 77046
26		713-352-1100 – Telephone
27		713-352-3300 – Facsimile Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming
28		110 Time vice i Ortheolimia



1	AND
2	Richard J. (Rex) Burch
3	Texas Bar No. 24001807
4	rburch@brucknerburch.com  BRUCKNER BURCH, PLLC
5	8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500
6	Houston, Texas 77046 713-877-8788 – Telephone
7	713-877-8065 – Facsimile Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming
8	Tho trac vice Portheolining
9	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT - 14

27

28

